



28 June 2019

Original: English, French,
Portuguese and Spanish

E

**Working Group on the Future of the
International Coffee Agreement**

1st Meeting

1 July 2019

London, United Kingdom

**Survey on the future of the
International Coffee Agreement:
overview of submissions from
ICO Members**

Background

1. In order to inform discussions at the first meeting of the Working Group on the Future of the International Coffee Agreement, Members were invited to respond to a brief survey on which options they favoured in terms of extension, renegotiation or termination as well as possible areas of change.
2. The survey was open from 18 April to 24 May 2019. In total 15 responses were received from the following Members: Brazil, Cameroon, Costa Rica, El Salvador, European Union, Honduras, Indonesia, Japan, Nicaragua, Norway, Papua New Guinea, Peru Switzerland, Uganda and Viet Nam. Mexico also made a submission received on 27 June.
3. An overview of the responses is attached at Annex A, with individual submissions attached at Annex B.

Recommendation

4. TO CONSIDER: the submissions received from Members with a view to agreeing any recommendations for consideration at the 125th Session of the International Coffee Council from 23-27 September 2019 in London.

ICA 2007 SURVEY: RESPONSES

| ICO member country | Extension or termination? | How would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement? | 'Amendment', would your country favour this option? | If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations: Organizational structure, Funding mechanism, New and emerging issues, Other issues |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Brazil | Extension. | Not in favour. | Not in favour. | |
| Cameroon | Supports extension. Would also accept termination if that was the Council's decision. | Not in favour. | In favour of extension with amendments. | Funding mechanism New and emerging issues. |
| Costa Rica | Extension. That the Agreement be extended after it expires (2 February 2021) up to the period of 8 years, and during this period of time amendments to the Agreement are made. | Feasible, but it is important that the Organization needs to show proactivity. | Yes | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues Other issues: A more active role for the ICO in the area of statistics, in particular, studies of the world coffee sector, more leadership in the search for solutions to the different problems facing the world coffee sector, including the economic sustainability of production. |
| El Salvador | Extension for a period of one year and to begin negotiations of the new Agreement. | Willing to begin negotiations for a new Agreement. | Amendment not necessary if negotiating new Agreement. | Important to discuss all areas, but priorities include 'New and emerging issues', and 'Other issues'. Recommendation to hire a firm of consultants to manage consultation process and draft proposal of the new Agreement. |

| ICO member country | Extension or termination? | How would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement? | 'Amendment', would your country favour this option? | If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations: Organizational structure, Funding mechanism, New and emerging issues, Other issues |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| European Union | Negotiations to improve and update ICA 2007. Termination if no amendment. | Very open. | Amendment the strict 'minimum'. | Organization structure Funding mechanisms Other issues: General and final provisions. |
| Honduras | Negotiate a new Agreement. Circumstances of coffee production chain are very different from the situation in 2007. | Yes, very positively. | Prefer new Agreement. | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues Other issues: Review participation of representatives advising coffee buyers. |
| Indonesia | Extension | Opt for extension. | Yes. | Organization structure Funding mechanisms Other issues: Dialogue among global coffee stakeholders. |
| Japan | Extension if negotiations for amendments beyond 2 February 2021. | No necessity. | Extension period of Agreement from 10 to 20 years. No need to add new articles or create new Agreement, however would like to hear views of Members. | N/A |

| ICO member country | Extension or termination? | How would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement? | 'Amendment', would your country favour this option? | If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations: Organizational structure, Funding mechanism, New and emerging issues, Other issues |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Mexico | Extension that allows amendments, or a new Agreement | In favour, to achieve a new modern Agreement in accordance with the needs of the sector today and taking into account the ideas and proposals set out by producers at the 1 st World Coffee Producers Forum. | As a second option if no new Agreement. | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues Other issues: Global management and review with the industry to achieve new agreements for innovative marketing mechanisms, based on production costs and with differentials or premiums that guarantee a minimum price and profit for producers, taking into account regional production costs and quality. |
| Nicaragua | Support possible extension. | Nicaragua would not hesitate in supporting negotiations that guarantee improving the benefits for the global and national coffee sector. | Support amendment if its main reason is to contribute to improving the objectives achieved and to promote inclusion of all actors in the coffee chain, to develop competitive strategies that allow to respond to the challenges and changes in the world coffee market and to achieve a significant reduction in the adverse effects on world coffee. | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues. |
| Norway | Extension of one or more successive periods. | | | Approval by Storting necessary for Norway's accession to a new Agreement. Developing and consulting on a proposition to Storting extensive process. Prefer to avoid using scarce resources. |

| ICO member country | Extension or termination? | How would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement? | 'Amendment', would your country favour this option? | If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations: Organizational structure, Funding mechanism, New and emerging issues, Other issues |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Papua New Guinea | Extension. | Welcome negotiations for new Agreement. | Prefer renegotiation, but would not oppose if consensus for amendment. | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues Other issues: New relationship needed with private sector. Less emphasis on division between producers and consumers. ICO needs to be more proactive in expanding market for coffee. |
| Peru | Extension | Agree to carry out negotiations or amendments to the Agreement, or for a new Agreement after the expiration date of 2 February 2021. | Yes | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues |
| Switzerland | Extension | Modernization needed in certain areas. Focus on substance rather than form at this stage. | Modernization needed in certain areas. Focus on substance rather than form at this stage. | Organizational structure: focus less on division between producing and consuming countries Funding mechanism: attract more external funding New and emerging issues: sustainability and new technologies Other issues: Inclusion of private sector. |

| ICO member country | Extension or termination? | How would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement? | 'Amendment', would your country favour this option? | If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations: Organizational structure, Funding mechanism, New and emerging issues, Other issues |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Uganda | Extension to provide for negotiations on amendments, or new agreement beyond 2 February 2021. | Examining whether ICO is relevant in its current form. Uganda would favour reviewing each Article of ICA 2007. | Yes | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues. |
| Vietnam | Extension. | The Council should have a Committee to oversee negotiation of new Agreement. | Two-thirds vote required in Council to determine options. | Organizational structure Funding mechanism New and emerging issues. |

INDIVIDUAL SUBMISSIONS

Brazil

Question 1: Name

Silvio Farnese

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Food Supply

Question 3: Member country

Brazil

Question 4: Contact details

silvio.farnese@agricultura.gov.br

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Extension of the ICA 2007 under the provisions of paragraph 3 of Article 48.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

We are not in favour

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

No

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Organizational structure | No |
| Funding mechanism | No |
| New and emerging issues | No |
| Other issues | No |

Cameroun

Question 1: Name

Wangbitchin Gilbert

Question 2: Organization/entity

Office National du Cacao et Café (ONCC)

Question 3: Member country

Cameroon

Question 4: Contact details

Tel: +237 694 16 55 90, E-mail: twangbitching@yahoo.fr

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Cameroon supports the extension of the 2007 ICA in accordance with the provisions of Article 48, paragraph 3. With regard to the provisions of Article 48, paragraph 4, Cameroon will accept the Council's decision with regard to the termination of the Agreement.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Cameroon is not in favour of negotiating a new Agreement.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Cameroon supports the extension with amendment of the 2007 ICA.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Funding Mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |

Costa Rica

Question 1: Name

Xinia Chaves Quirós

Question 2: Organization/Entity

Coffee Institute of Costa Rica

Question 3: Member country

Costa Rica

Question 4: Contact details

xchaves@icafe.cr

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

That the Agreement be extended after it expires (2 February 2021) for a period of up to 8 years, under the provisions of paragraph 3) of Article 48, i.e. until 2 February 2029. During this period, any amendments to the Agreement are carried out.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

We see it as feasible, but it is important that the Organization shows proactivity.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Our country agrees with the option of amending the International Coffee Agreement.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |
| Other issues | Yes |

Other (please specify)

A more active role of the ICO in the area of statistics, in particular, studies of the world coffee sector; more leadership in the search for solutions to the different problems facing the world coffee sector, including the economic sustainability of production.

El Salvador

Question 1: Name

Tomás Ovidio Bonilla Martínez – Department of Economic Studies

Question 2: Organization/Entity

Salvadorian Coffee Council (CSC)

Question 3: Member country

El Salvador

Question 4: Contact details

José Hugo Hernández: Executive Director CSC, jhernandez@csc.gob.sv

Elizabeth Hayek-Weinmann: ehayek@rree.gob.sv

Gabriela Ramírez-Lazo: gmrarez@rree.gob.sv

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Given the proximity of the end date of the Agreement, it is convenient to agree an extension for a period of one year, if it is in accordance with the provisions of the 2007 Agreement, and to begin negotiations of the new Agreement.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

With the objective of strengthening the performance of the ICO and given the new challenges facing the world coffee sector, El Salvador would be willing to begin negotiations for a new Agreement.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

With negotiations starting for a new Agreement, the Amendment would not be necessary.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

All the indicated areas would be important to discuss, but those referred to in numerals 3 [New and emerging issues] and 4 [Other issues] should be given priority.

Remarks

As a recommendation and with eagerness to advance in the negotiations of a new Agreement, the services of a firm of consultants could be hired to make the consultations in a more expeditious way and based on it, to elaborate a draft proposal of the new Agreement for its discussion in the appropriate channels of the ICO.

European Union

Question 1: Name

Michel De Knoop

Question Q2: Organization/entity

European Commission

Question 3: Member country

European Union

Question 4: Contact details

michel.de-knoop@ec.europa.eu

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

First negotiations to improve and update Agreement 2007, results achieved will build the future: if zero then no extension from our side.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Very open

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Amendment is the strict minimum to obtain.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

Organization structure Yes

Funding mechanism Yes

Other issues Yes

Other (please specify)

General and final provisions

Honduras

Question 1: Name

Jacobo Alberto Paz

Question 2: Organization/Entity

National Coffee Council

Question 3: Member country

Honduras

Question 4: Contact details

paz.jacobo@gmail.com

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Negotiate a new Agreement. The circumstances of the coffee production chain are very different from the situation that prevailed in 2007.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Very positive.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

We prefer to negotiate a new Agreement.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Organizational structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |
| Other issues | Yes |

Other (please specify)

Review participation of representatives advising coffee purchasing companies.

Indonesia

Question 1: Name

Vitto R. Tahar

Question 2: Organization/entity

The Embassy of the Republic of Indonesia in London

Question 3: Member country

Indonesia

Question 4: Contact details

30 Great Peter Street, London, SW1P 2 BU

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Indonesia supports the extension of ICA 2007.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Indonesia opts for the extension of the ICA 2007.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Yes.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

Organization structure Yes

Funding mechanism Yes

Other issues Yes

Other (please specify)

Dialog among global coffee stakeholders.

Japan

Question 1: Name

Mitsuhide Kamikouchi Hisashi Kataoka Seichiro Oyama

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of agriculture, forestry and fisheries (Kamikouchi, Kataoka, Oyama)

Question 3: Country

Japan

Question 4: Contact details

mitsuhide_kamikoc690@maff.go.jp

hisashi_kataoka410@maff.go.jp

seichiro_oyama300@maff.go.jp

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Japan believes that, if it is considered that the negotiations for amendments of the ICA 2007 may continue beyond the expiry date of 2 February 2021, the Agreement should be extended under the provisions of paragraph 3 of Article 48.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Japan does not find necessity to create a new Agreement.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Japan would like to suggest that ICA 2007 should be amended simply to extend the effective period for 10 years.

That is, Article 48(1) of the Agreement should be amended based on Article 49, as follows.

ARTICLE 48

Duration, extension and termination

(1) This Agreement shall remain in force for a period of twenty years after it enters into force provisionally or definitively unless extended under the provisions of paragraph (3) of this Article or terminated under the provisions of paragraph (4) of this Article

So far, we don't find necessity to amend any other Articles but Article 48 mentioned above, to add new Articles, nor to create a new agreement, however, we would like to hear the views of the Members.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Organizational structure | No |
| Funding mechanism | No |
| New and emerging issues | No |
| Other issues | No |

Mexico

Question 1: Name

Santiago Jose Arguello Campos

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (SADER) of Mexico

Question 3: Member country

Mexico

Question 4: Contact details

santiago.arguello@sader.gob.mx

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

An extension that allows to carry out negotiations or amendments to the Agreement, or for a new Agreement after the expiration date of 2 February 2021.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

In favour of initiating the necessary negotiations to achieve a new modern Agreement in accordance with new needs and above all taking into account the ideas and proposals of the producers put forward at the 1st World Coffee Producers Forum and that in the case of Mexico we have endorsed through the position of Promecafé as a Bloc of Producing Countries of Central America, the Caribbean and the Andean Region.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Once considered the previous point, this could be the second option.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |
| Other issues | Yes |

Other (please specify)

Global management and review with the industry to achieve new agreements for innovative marketing mechanisms, based on production costs and with differentials or premiums that guarantee a minimum price and profit for producers, taking into account regional production costs and quality.

Nicaragua

Question 1: Name

Cristian Martínez

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of Development, Industry and Trade

Question 3: Member country

Nicaragua

Question 4: Contact details

cmartinez@mifc.gob.ni

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Under the provisions of paragraph 3 of Article 48 of the International Coffee Agreement 2007, Nicaragua would support a possible extension, since the objectives coincide with the interests of the national coffee sector, the government having paid the membership in order to have access to the derived benefits.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

According to the provisions of paragraph 2 of Article 35 of the International Coffee Agreement 2007, and after examining the achievements of the objectives, which are specified in Article 1 and as a result the possibility of a new Agreement, Nicaragua would not hesitate in supporting negotiations that guarantee improving the benefits of the global and national coffee sector.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

If the Council proposes an amendment as provided for in Article 49 of the 2007 Agreement, the main purpose of which is to contribute to improving the objectives achieved thus promoting the inclusion of all the actors in the coffee chain in order to develop competitive strategies to respond to the challenges and changes in the world coffee market and to significantly reduce the adverse effects on the world coffee sector, Nicaragua would support a possible amendment to this Agreement.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

- | | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |

Norway

ROYAL NORWEGIAN MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Mr Jose Sette
Executive Director
International Coffee Organization 222
Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8HB

Date: 9 May 2019

Dear Mr Sette,

Future of the International Coffee Agreement (ICA) 2007

I have the honour to inform you that Norway is in favour of an extension of one or more successive periods of the ICA 2007.

According to our national procedures, the Government will have to present a Proposition to the Storting (Norwegian parliament) on a new Agreement. Approval by the Storting will be necessary for Norway's accession to a new Agreement.

Developing and consulting on a Proposition to the Storting is an extensive process. We would prefer to avoid using scarce resources for such processes more often than strictly necessary.

Yours sincerely



Torun Dramdal
Representative of Norway to the International Coffee Council
Senior Adviser
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Norway

Papua New Guinea

Question 1: Name

Mick Wheeler

Question 2: Organization/entity

PNG Coffee Industry Corporation

Question 3: Member country

Papua New Guinea

Question 4: Contact details

wheeler@globalnet.co.uk

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

We would opt for an extension rather than see the Agreement terminated.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

We would welcome the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Whilst we would prefer a renegotiation we would not oppose any consensus in favour of Amendment.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

- | | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism, | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |
| Other issues | Yes |

Other (please specify)

There needs to be a new relationship with private sector within the context of the Agreement itself. There needs to be less emphasis on the division between producers and consumers. The ICO needs to be more proactive in expanding the market for coffee.

Peru

Question 1: Name

Jorge Figueroa Rojas

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation

Question 3: Member country

Peru

Question 4: Contact details

jfigueroa@minagri.gob.pe

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

We recommend the extension of the current Agreement.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

We are in agreement to conduct negotiations or amendments to the Agreement, or for a new Agreement after the expiration date of 2 February 2021.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Yes, in favour.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

- | | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |

Switzerland

Embassy of Switzerland in the United Kingdom

Mr Jose Sette
Executive Director
International Coffee Organization
222 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X8HB

London, 23 May 2019

Dear Executive Director,

Please find below Switzerland's answers to the survey on the future of the International Coffee Agreement.

Question 5:

What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of paragraphs 3 and 4 of Article 48?

Switzerland wishes to extend this agreement. We believe that continuity is of the utmost importance; a potential gap until ratification of a new agreement would lead to much uncertainty and should therefore be avoided.

Question 6:

As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

Switzerland believes the agreement would benefit from modernization in certain areas. We therefore prefer to focus on substance rather than form at this stage.

Question 7:

As provided for in Article 49 of the /CA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

See response to question 6 above.

Question 8:

If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations:

1. *Organizational structure*
2. *Funding mechanism*
3. *New and emerging issues*
4. *Other issues*

From Switzerland's perspective, all of the mentioned areas can be looked at. The organizational structure should focus less on the division between producing and consuming countries and continue working on attracting more external funding. It needs to continue working on questions of sustainability and new technologies for the sector to make the Organization fit for the future. In addition, the inclusion of the private sector is very important and will help to make the ICO a body with a meaningful convening power for all actors of the coffee value chain.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "SK".

Stefanie Küng

Deputy Head of the Economic, Finance, Science and Innovation Section
Embassy of Switzerland in the United Kingdom

Uganda

Question 1: Name

Emmanuel Iyamulemye Niyibigira

Question 2: Organization/entity

Uganda Coffee Development Authority

Question 3: Member country

Uganda

Question 4: Contact details

md@ugandacoffee.go.ug; Emmanuel.Iyamulemye@ugandacoffee.go.ug

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA 2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

Option 1: An extension to provide for continued negotiations on amendments of the ICA 2007, or a new agreement beyond the expiry date of 2 February 2021.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA 2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

By examining whether ICO is relevant in the present form and structure in achieving the objectives of coffee producers. Uganda would favour reviewing each article of the ICA 2007.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Yes.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Organization structure | Yes |
| Funding mechanism | Yes |
| New and emerging issues | Yes |

Viet Nam

Question 1: Name

Ngo Duy Hai

Question 2: Organization/entity

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of Vietnam

Question 3: Member country

Viet Nam

Question 4: Contact details

duyhai.ngo@gmail.com

Question 5: What would be your country's position with regard to the extension of ICA2007 or its termination, under the provisions of Article 48, paragraphs 3 and 4?

ICA 2007 should be extension in a new Agreement.

Question 6: As provided for in Article 35 of the ICA2007, entitled 'Preparations for a new Agreement': 'the Council may examine the possibility of negotiating a new International Coffee Agreement', how would your country consider the opening of negotiations for a new Agreement?

The Council should be have a Committee to negotiation for a new Agreement.

Question 7: As provided for in Article 49 of the ICA 2007 entitled 'Amendment', would your country favour this option?

Two thirds of the votes is the best accept for the Council.

Question 8: If your country favours a new agreement or an amendment, and taking into consideration the existing ICA and the resolutions approved by the Council since its entry into force, please indicate which of the following areas should be covered in the negotiations [please respond with a tick]:

Organization structure Yes

Funding mechanism Yes

New and emerging issues Yes